THORNWELL SCHOOL FOR THE ARTS 604 E. Home Ave. Hartsville, S.C. 29550 1-6 Elementary School GRADES 379 Students ENROLLMENT Peggy B. Odom 843-857-3090 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Rainey Knight 843-398-5200 Dr. Thelma P. Dawson 843-393-1291 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 58 24 IMPROVEMENT RATING: AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: ND This school met 12 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

·	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Below Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Average	Average	N/A
2003	Average	Average	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School

Elementary Schools with Students like Ours

41.1

48.5

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	29	64	52
Percent satisfied with learning environment	82.8%	85.9%	90.4%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	72.4%	81.0%	86.0%
Percent estisfied with home-school relations	60.7%	87 5%	80.8%

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP olo Proficient and State Objective July of Testing olo Belom Baeic olo Proficient olo Advanced Advanced olo Tested o/oBasic English/Language Arts All students 44.8 262 100.0 41.1 13.7 0.4 14.1 17.6 Gender Male 126 100.0 49.6 39.3 11.1 N/A 11.1 17.6 Female 100.0 33.8 49.2 16.2 8.0 16.9 17.6 136 Racial/Ethnic Group 100.0 23.7 57.9 17.1 1.3 18.4 17.6 White 80 African-American 100.0 49.7 39.1 N/A 11.2 17.6 180 11.2 Asian/Pacific Islander 2 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Hispanic 17.6 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A American Indian/Alaskan 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 46.6 15.4 15.9 220 37.5 0.5 17.6 Disabled 42 100.0 60.0 35.0 5.0 N/A 5.0 17.6 Migrant Status Migrant 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A Non-migrant 262 100.0 41.3 44.5 13.8 0.4 14.2 17.6 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 0.0 17.6 Non-limited English proficient 100.0 41.3 44.5 13.8 0.4 14.2 17.6 262 Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 100.0 46.9 41.2 11.9 N/A 11.9 17.6 209 Full-pay meals 53 100.0 20.8 56.6 20.8 1.9 22.6 17.6 Mathematics All students 262 100.0 36.3 49.6 10.5 3.6 14.1 15.5 Gender Male 100.0 39.3 48.7 7.7 4.3 12.0 126 15.5 Female 100.0 33.1 50.8 13.1 3.1 16.2 15.5 136 Racial/Ethnic Group White 100.0 22.4 52.6 19.7 5.3 25.0 15.5 80 African-American 180 100.0 42.6 49.1 6.5 1.8 8.3 15.5 Asian/Pacific Islander 2 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Hispanic N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 N/A 0.0 N/A American Indian/Alaskan N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 32.2 51.4 12.0 4.3 15.5 220 16.3 Disabled 100.0 57.5 40.0 N/A 15.5 42 2.5 2.5 Migrant Status N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Migrant N/A 0.0 N/A Non-migrant 262 100.0 36.0 49.8 10.5 3.6 14.2 15.5 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Non-limited English proficient 262 100.0 36.0 49.8 10.5 3.6 14.2 15.5 Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 209 100.0 41.8 47.9 8.2 2.1 10.3 15.5

15.1

100.0

53

Full-pay meals

56.6

18.9

28.3

15.5

9.4

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Englis	ier des	reste 19	ONL	Basic ok	Profite 0/0	Advor Profic
		EMO	ign des	leste ologi	0/0	0/0	0/0	Advar Profic
				English	n/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	58	N/A	33.3	49.1	17.5	N/A	17.5
	Grade 4	68	N/A	23.9	49.3	26.9	N/A	26.9
2002	Grade 5	72	N/A	57.7	35.2	7.0	N/A	7.0
20	Grade 6	71	N/A	31.0	39.4	26.8	2.8	29.6
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	58	100.0	31.5	53.7	13.0	1.9	14.8
	Grade 4	67	100.0	30.6	45.2	24.2	N/A	24.2
8	Grade 5	69	100.0	51.5	40.9	7.6	N/A	7.6
2003	Grade 6	68	100.0	48.5	40.9	10.6	N/A	10.6
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				M	athematio	cs		
	Grade 3	58	N/A	56.9	37.9	5.2	N/A	5.2
	Grade 4	68	N/A	33.8	35.3	19.1	11.8	30.9
2002	Grade 5	72	N/A	52.1	38.0	8.5	1.4	9.9
2	Grade 6	71	N/A	28.2	46.5	21.1	4.2	25.4
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	58	100.0	35.2	53.7	11.1	N/A	11.1
	Grade 4	67	100.0	24.2	58.1	11.3	6.5	17.7
2003	Grade 5	69	100.0	39.4	47.0	9.1	4.5	13.6
20	Grade 6	68	100.0	45.5	40.9	10.6	3.0	13.6
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 379)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	0.3%	Down from 2.7%	3.5%	2.4%
Attendance rate	90.1%	Down from 96.0%	95.5%	95.9%
Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented	8.0%	Down from 10.8%	6.4%	13.2%
On academic plans	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech	8.6%	Up from 7.3%	8.6%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	1.1%	Up from 0.7%	2.3%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	1.8%	Down from 2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 30)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	50.0%	Up from 35.3%	46.0%	50.0%
Continuing contract teachers	80.0%	Up from 79.4%	83.3%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	ar 92.1%	Down from 92.3%	83.5%	86.2%

Average teacher salary	\$40,407	Down 0.2%	\$39,095	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	16.6 days	Down from 17.3 days	12.4 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	12.8 to 1	Down from 13.9 to 1	17.6 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	84.7%	Down from 87.7%	88.5%	89.7%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,936	Down 4.7%	\$6,069	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	61.0%	Down from 61.4%	66.3%	66.6%
	Excellent	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.6%	Up from 99.0%	99.0%	99.0%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

Up from 93.8%

94.5%

95.3%

96.5%

Teacher attendance rate

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Lighty gualified to oboug in high payarty cabacle	N1/A	N1/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations	2	Mississ	Data
Appreviations	IOL	wiissina	บลเล

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Mission Statement: The mission of Thornwell School for the Arts is to provide an artistically enriched educational environment in which children can explore, discover, create, express, and succeed.

The 2002-2003 school year at Thornwell School for the Arts demonstrated continued momentum of the implementation of arts initiatives. In its second year as a magnet school, the staff utilized additional instructional strategies and designed innovative activities to revitalize the curriculum; students were offered varied opportunities to learn in and through the arts. A strings program was established, Orff ensembles united, and numerous clubs offered to enrich learning experiences.

An added benefit of the visual and performing arts infusion has been the increase of parental and community support. The School Improvement Council, PTO Board, and Steering Committee were powerful forces in guiding school reform. Local churches, arts councils, and grant funding provided additional resources for initiating change.

As a Title One School-wide Program, emphasis was placed on The No Child Left Behind Legislation. Each student was assigned to a class led by a highly qualified professional. Throughout the year, teachers and paraprofessionals engaged in meaningful staff development opportunities. As a result, instructional effectiveness, selection of resources, and discipline showed progress.

With the close of 2002-2003, successes were noted in individual academic achievement and character development. A positive SACS (Southern Association of Colleges and Schools) review validated Thornwell's preparation of students and staff for future educational pursuits.

Peggy B. Odom Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.